

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/050,527 | 01/18/2002 | Yitzhak Zloter | 172/58 | 7751 |
| 75 | 90 01/07/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| DR. MARK FRIEDMAN LTD. C/O BILL POLKINGHORN | | | PAK, SUNG H | |
| DISCOVERY DISPATCH 9003 FLORIN WAY | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2874 | - |
| UPPER MARL | BORO, MD 20772 | | DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004 | . |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | 10/050,527 | ZLOTER ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Sung H. Pak | 2874 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | | | | | |
| Period for Reply | | • | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDO | timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28. | July 2003. | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-20 is/are withdrays. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers | · | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction to the correction. | a) accepted or b) objected or b) obj | See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the finance of the translation of the foreign language position of the foreign language position of the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the | nts have been received. Into have been received in Application ority documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). Into the certified copies not received in the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 irst sentence of the specification rovisional application has been received in the priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12 irst priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12 | etion No lived in this National Stage ved. live(e) (to a provisional application) or in an Application Data Sheet. eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specific | | | |
| Attachment(s) | <u></u> | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informa | I Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

Office Action Summary

Brian Pagar No. 1293
Primary Francisco

Application/Control Number: 10/050,527

Art Unit: 2874

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in Paper No. 0703 (filed 07/28/2003) is acknowledged.

Information Disclosure Statement

All reference submitted in the information disclosure statement have been considered by the examiner. Please refer to PTO-1449 enclosed herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-2, 6-10, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Liukkonen et al (US 6,230,214).

Liukkonen et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims, including: an attachment configuration for attachment to a device (Fig. 2); an infrared interface (abstract), wherein said infrared interface mechanically connected to said attachment configuration (Fig. 2); and a communications cable (Fig. 2), wherein said communications cable is operationally connected to said infrared interface (Fig. 3); a processing device having an infrared port ("1" Fig. 2); and the

Application/Control Number: 10/050,527

Art Unit: 2874

communications link of claim 1 (Fig. 3); wherein said attachment configuration is configured for attachment to said processing device and said infrared interface is maintained in optical alignment with said infrared port (Fig. 3); wherein the communications cable includes an optical fiber (Claim 16); wherein the communications cable includes an electrically conducting wire (column 3 line 49); further comprising an electrical plug configured for attachment to the second device ("10" Fig. 2), wherein said electrical plug is electrically connected to said communications cable (column 3 lines 50-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/050,527

Art Unit: 2874

Claims 3-5, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liukkonen et al (US 6,230,214) in view of Weigel (US 6,287,016 B1)

Liukkonen et al reference discloses an optical device with all the limitations set forth in the claims as discussed above, except it does not teach the use of a connector clip having flexible upper clamping portion and lower clamping portion.

Weigel reference discloses a unitary flexible clip for fiber optic connection comprising of upper clamping and lower clamping portion (Fig. 1). Flexible clamping clips of Weigel device are advantageously used to provide a cost effective and structurally simple way of securing optical fiber connectors to optical devices.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Liukkonen et al device to have a flexible upper and lower clamping portions.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sung H. Pak whose telephone number is (703) 308-4880. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 6:30am-5:00pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Art Unit: 2874

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

An

Sung H. Pak Examiner Art Unit 2874

sp

Brian Healy Primary Examinar